1	2
	time Shri Jai Narain was occupying two General Pool houses and one from his departmental pool Later on, the departmental pool and the additional General Pool house was surrendered. However, CAT has dismissed his petition with the observation that whatever is the final decision in the Public Interest Litigation case filed by Shri Shiv Sagar Tiwari may be applicable to Shri Jai Narain.
Type - IV	
Sh G.C Agarwal 48-D, Press Lane.	The son of the retired employee (Shri G C Agarwal) has applied for regularisation of the house as per the policy of the Directorate information has been called for from the Office of the son of the retired employee.
Type - V	
(i) Aalmi Urdu Conference (a) 164-D, Rouse Avenue (b) 166-D, Rouse Revenue. (ii) Mahila Dakshta Samiti, 19-D, Fire Brigade Lane. (iii) Akhil Bhartia Hindi Sanstha Sangh, 34-D, Kotla Rd	Supreme Court decided that the voluntary organisations occupying Government accommodation be asked to vacate the premises after giving them a reasonable period, which would not exceed more than two years w.e.f. the date of decision i.e. 29th February 1996. During this period these organisations should make their own arrangements.
Type-VI	
Pt. Ravi Shankar 95, Lodi Estate	The competent authority considered the case of Pt. Ravi Shankar and as an eminent artist recommended that Pt. Ravi Shankar may be permitted to retain the accommodation till 6.10.1995 on payment of normal licence fee. This fact has been placed before the Supreme Court through an affidavit. No further directions have been received on this affidavit.

1	2
Sh. R.C. Kohli C-II/73, Bapa Nagar	As an officer posted to North East Shri Kohli was not eligible for retention of a C-II accommodation. Accordingly, he was offered a D-I accommodation as per the rules. Shri Kohli filed a petition which after having been heard by the Supreme Court. Supreme Court gave time upto November, 1995 and if by that period Shri Kohli gets reposted, the accommodation may be regularised as per the rules in force. Shri Kohi got reposted on 13.3.1996 and as per the existing rules was only eligible for a D-I accommodation on his reposting since his own turn for a C-II house was not covered at that time. A D-I accommodation has been offered to Shri Kohli which has not been accepted by him. Eviction proceedings have been initiated against Shri Kohli in respect of the C-II accommodation under his occupation.
Smt. Indrani Devi 6, K.M. Marg	The competent authority regularised the accommodation in favour of Smt. Indrani Devi for her life time. However, the Supreme Court desired that the case be submitted before the Cabinet to reconsider the earlier decision of allotment of accommodation to Smt. Indrani Devi for life time who is not eligible for such retention as per rules.

Employment Assurance Scheme

914. DR. T. SUBBARAMI REDDY : Will the Minister of RURAL AREAS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state :

(a) whether Andhra Pradesh Government has requested the Union Government to include 59 blocks under employment assurance scheme on 31st October, 1995; and

(b) if so, the details of the proposal?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL AREAS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI CHADRADEO PRASAD VARMA) (a) Yes Sir

(b) The State Government requested for inclusion of 59 blocks of 12 districts under the EAS EAS has been recently extended to 90 blocks of the State including the 59 blocks referred to above

Oil Exploration

915 SHRI SUSHIL CHANDRA Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state

(a) the terms and conditions of the scheme chacked out by the Union Government for private sector companies for Oil exploration works and

(b) the percentage of expenditure that will be borne by the private companies from their own resources and percentage that they will get from Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI T.R BAALU) (a) and (b) The terms and conditions offered by the Government of India under the bidding rounds for the exploration blocks are :

The contracts of exploration blocks are production sharing contracts with a contract period in case of crude oil and associated gas, of upto 25 years Companies are exempted from payment of bonuses and statutory levies The Government of India would have the first right of refusal in respect of the oil produced under these contracts with companies being paid for their share of oil at international prices Provision for participation by ONGC/OIL in the venture at the exploration and /or the development stage has been made and ONGC/OIL can take a participating interest of 30% to 40% in the venture Provisions have also been made for the development of commercially exploitable natural gas resources No expenditure is incurred by Government of India under these contracts

Diamond Park

916 SHRI MOHAN RAWALE Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government are aware of the proposal of the Madhya Pradesh Government for setting up a Diamond Park in Indore district.

(b) if so, the details thereof.

(c) whether the Union Government have given security clearance to the Madhya Pradesh Government and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH) : (a) to (d). The Government of India is aware that the Government of Madhya Pradesh had issued a notification dated 2.2.1996 under the provisions of the Land Acquisition Act 1894, notifying various survey numbers in Rangwasa village for acquisition of land for the establishment of a proposed Diamond Park. The matter is thus fully in the domain of the Government of Madhya Pradesh.

A team of senior officers of the Department of Atomic Energy as deputed to Indore to assess whether the proposed Diamond Park would pose any threat to the safety/security of the Centre for Advanced Technology at Indore. The team has reported that the proposed Diamond Park would not pose any threat to the Centre for Advanced Technology.

The Department of Atomic Energy has as yet not given any security clearance to the Madhya Pradesh Government.

Encroachment

917 SHRI JANG BAHADUR SINGH PATEL Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether a Delhi Court in a judgement delivered in 1993 on encroachment of land said that a full time Central authority or department is to be established in order to reclaim public premises in occupation of various people in Delhi and to prevent further encroachment thereon;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the steps taken thereon; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR U. VENKATESWARLU) : (a) to (c) The Hon'ble Court of the Sub-judge First Class, Tis Hazari Court in Suit No. 581/92-Bhikari Lal Vs. M.C.D and Laxmi Chand had directed that the Ministry of Urban Development should constitute a Committee to examine the suggestions made for constituting a Central Authority or Department in respect of public premises vesting in and belonging to development bodies in Delhi.

The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, in Civil Writ Petition No. 3451 of 1989 - Kailash Colony Women's Association Vs. MCD and Others, had inter alia observed that a study should be immediately undertaken so as to find out the ways as to know the problems of unauthorised construction and illegal use could be sorted out and opined that it was necessary that the problem was considered by a Committee headed by Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India and consisting of Chief Secretary, Government of NCT of Delhi, Commissioner, MCD, Vice Chairman, DDA, Administrator, NDMC, General Manager, DESU and Commissioner of Police. The report of the